1) **Call to Order**
   
   a) At 6:05 PM Chris Williamson, Chair of the HAC, called the meeting to order.
   
   b) HAC members present:

   - Chris Williamson, Chair
   - Mark Hewitt
   - Tom Bokhart
   - Sandra Boyd
   - Kelli Miller

   There was a quorum.

2) **Approval of Minutes**
   
   a) The Meeting Minutes for August 16, 2018, were approved by email. The approved meeting minutes will be uploaded to the website shortly.

3) **Dog Park Committee Formation**
   
   a) C. Williamson offered in the 2018-2019 Budget there is a total of $7,500.00 allocated for use at the dog park. Williamson mentioned issues regarding the dog park are as follows:

   - The turf in the dog park has gotten worn down from use over the years, i.e. grass repair.
   - The desire to make the dog park bigger.
   - Installation of a better water fountain which is more sanitary.
   - The possibility of sections in the dog park for “small” and “large” dogs.
   - If the park is expanded additional trees are suggested

   b) Williamson suggests a group of persons interested in the dog park self-organize into a “dog-park committee”. These persons may visit other dog parks included the types of gates used, and water features. Another person might with the HAC manager meet with CSUCI Facility Services to discuss the concerns the landscapers and maintenance staff have regarding expansion of the dog park. Another person might call a fence company to get an idea of what it costs to install various kinds of fences.

   c) Williamson mentioned he talked with his veterinarian about supporting the dog park. His veterinarian suggested they would donate a water fountain for the dog park and a little plaque could be affixed to the water fountain designating the donor. Williamson went further to suggest other veterinarians or other individuals may be interested in supporting the UG dog park project.

   d) A piece of paper was passed around giving those individuals interested in participating on the HAC Dog Park Committee list their names and email contact information so an initial meeting of the HAC Dog Park Committee can be
coordinated. S. Boyd suggested a member of the HAC participate as a member of the Dog Park Committee. Williamson agreed he would continue to be a participant on the committee.

4) **Public Safety Report (Officer Arnie Camp)**

   a) Officer Camp commented school has started since the last HAC meeting. As a result, there is more traffic both vehicular and pedestrian in and around the campus and UG. Additional enforcement officers are along the road at the main entrance to the Campus and along Camarillo Street. Camp reminded owners to be aware of the speed limits and to stop at stop signs. Camp commented the police department is trying to education the new students on Campus regarding enforcement which is in place to keep everyone safe.

   b) Officer Camp mentioned the police department continues to do extra enforcement around the UG pools/spas. Camp reported one incident at Hillcrest Pool of a couple sleeping on the chaise lounges during non-pool hours. The officer informed the couple of the pool house and asked them to leave.

   c) S. Boyd asked whether the police department responds to incidents on Potrero Road. Camp responded the CSUCI police department does patrol the grade on Potrero Road. Boyd commented she experienced three cars passing at the double yellow line. She offered her concern about the safety of driving down the grade on Potrero Road. Officer Camp suggested calling the Ventura County Highway Patrol directly voicing her concern.

   d) C. Williamson mentioned the CSCUI police department is now equipped with body cameras. Officer Camp explained the body camera program/police has been in effect for about a month. Camp commented the cameras are only switched-on when an officer is called out for service, some type of enforcement action, or medical emergency.

   e) David Watts stated he has noted in his walking around Campus quite a bit of trash. He questioned whether the police provide trash enforcement? Sandi Bolger added she has seen beer bottles being thrown out of cars along Camarillo Street. Camp stated that if a police officer sees littering, they will enforce the littering laws.

   f) Kevin Benn mentioned that while walking early in the morning he noticed the public rest rooms are locked. He noted, using the security FOB for access to the Town Center Gym will provide access to a rest room 24/7.

   g) An owner asked about parking enforcement. She asked are non-valid parking permits being enforced? Teresa Rosado mentioned there are cars parked on the street which do not look like they have been moved recently and some do not appear to have valid parking permits. Camp responded the parking permits have a number on the parking permit which can be verified with the parking patrol. Camp commented all cars parking in UG must have a displayed valid parking permit. Friesen added if an owner notices a vehicle parked in the same location over 72-hours, it is appropriate to email UGCAM bringing it to the manager’s attention, who will forward the observation to the parking patrol. D. Watts questioned what to do if an owner is going to be away for a couple of weeks. Friesen replied owners can call the Police Department at the non-emergency number and inform the parking patrol of their schedule and/or call the UGCAM office and the information will be forwarded to the parking patrol. The non-emergency police department number is 805-437-8444.
h) T. Rosado questioned Camp about the auto accident on University Avenue on Wednesday, September 19th. Camp reported the driver was OK. Camp commented wearing seat belts saves lives.

5) **Management Office Report (E&S Ring CI/Site Authority Representatives)**

a) J. Friesen, handed out hard copies of the 2017-2018 CAM Reconciliation. The 2017-2018 CAM Reconciliation Report will be uploaded to the CSUCI Website under the section for the Site Authority. The expenses for CAM 2017-2018 ($1,594,606.00) exceeded the income taken in by CAM 2017-2018 ($1,532,127.00) by $62,479.00. This deficit can be attributed to two areas which the expenses exceeded the budget amounts. The first area is the trash expense, which the actuals exceeded the budget by $31,236.00. This delta can be explained by the rate increase instituted during 2017-2018 which was not included in the budget. The second area is maintenance items relating to University Glen which were not included in the 2017-2019 budget. These items included expenses associated with CalFIRE clear brush twice during the year in the amount of about $9,000.00. In previous years CSUCI had been paying for CalFIRE services and the Site Authority determined CAM will now be paying for the area being cleared around University Glen specifically. Another maintenance item was repairs to fitness equipment serving the Gym in the renovated Hillcrest Pool House in the amount of about $6,000.00. These non-budgeted repairs were made in October/November 2017 and an additional treadmill belt was replaced following the completion of the renovation of the Hillcrest Pool House. Maintenance items associated with the pools/spas is another area repairs were required which were not anticipated in the budget. During the annual inspections of the pools—when both pools were brought back online after the renovation of the pool areas, the Ventura County Health Department presented a list of repairs needing to be made. The Health Department now requires the chemicals/pH be monitored daily in the pools (2) and spas (2). The 2017-2018 Budget did not include monies for the daily monitoring. UGCAM included the monitoring of the chemicals/pH as part of the renegotiated contract between Better Pools (the pool vendor who services the pools/spas) and UGCAM. (UGCAM is investigating the cost effectiveness of installing an automatic chemical/pH monitoring system in the future.)

Friesen thanked Stephanie Bracamontes, Site Authority Fiscal Officer and the UGCAM accounting staff for working together to complete the 2017-2018 CAM Reconciliation before the close of the First Quarter of the 2018-2019 Budget Year.

D. Watts commented the 2017-2018 CAM Reconciliation does not offer the total of Reserves which are in the CAM account. Friesen offered hard copies of the balances in the reserve accounts for the Common Areas, Single-Family Homes, and Townhouses.

W. Paasch questioned why the CAM reconciliation does not show any late fees for the apartments. Friesen explained E&S Ring pays the monthly CAM fee for the apartments as one complete payment each month on time. Any late fees the apartment managers may charge their individual leasers does not filter to the UGCAM accounting system.

T. Bokhart requested seeing a balance sheet for the UGCAM. Friesen agreed the balance sheet is available for viewing at the UGCAM office per standard practices.
b) As part of the 2018-2019 Budget monies for a full reserve study were allocated. The reserve study is finishing up. Complex Solutions is the name of the reserve study consultant UGCAM are using. The first draft of the reserve study will be received shortly. The reserve study will address the common areas, townhouse, and single-family items. The apartment reserves are addressed separately by the owners of the apartments, Kennedy Wilson Multi-Family. The budgeting process for UGCAM begins in October so the process can be completed for the Site Authority Board to vote on the 2019-2020 budget at their spring meeting 2019.

S. Mandyam asked the square footage of the common area to be used in the reserve study. Friesen commented the townhouses, single family homes, and apartments pay into the common area reserves. There will be three “chapters” to the reserve study, the common area, the townhouse, and the single-family homes.

C. Williamson offered the reserve study will address items which need immediate repair and can be included in the 2019-2020 budget.

c) K. Benn stated there was not enough reclaimed water to supply the irrigation system serving UG, so there is potable water added to make up the deficiency. Friesen responded he is not aware of the irrigation watering practice Benn is describing. M. Hewitt added based on the water situation a couple of years ago, his impression is the farmers have first rights to water. There was a period where the sprinklers were off for three weeks straight a couple of years ago.

d) Friesen reported the townhouse painting project is finishing up. When the final sign-off is completed for the townhouse painting project, any paint issues will be addressed through UGCAM maintenance. If it is appropriate, the touch-up work completed may be invoiced to the townhouse reserves as part of the townhouse painting project.

An owner requested touch-up paint for the townhouses. Friesen suggested he will talk with the maintenance staff regarding supplying touch-up paint to the townhouse owners.

e) Parking in University Glen is enforced 24/7. Temporary parking passes are available at the UGCAM office during business hours. On the weekends temporary parking passes are available at the Mission Hills Apartment Leasing Office during business hours.

f) University Glen Property Improvement Applications for work requiring a building permit (electrical, plumbing, structural) are now being submitted through the UGCAM office. There now is additional one-page PIA form needing to be completed which facilitates the permitting process through Facility Service. This form is in addition to the 2-page form used by the HAC and signed by the Senior Director of the Site Authority. Payments associated with securing a building permit are made out to “CSUCI” and presented to the UGCAM office. The UGCAM office compiles the complete PIA and emails to Facility Services. Because University Glen and CSUCI are located on State Land, both have their own designated building inspector who is not associated with the City of Camarillo or the County of Ventura. The Facility Service and/or the Inspector will contact the owners directly. If owners have questions, contact the UGCAM office. Installation of ceiling fans requires a PIA due to the fact there are no wires in the ceiling boxes. Verification of proper installation is required.

g) The UGCAM manager met with the University’s landscaping crew. Facility Services attendees included, Tom Hunt, Interim VP of Facility Services, Raudel Banduelos, and Jason Hughes. Members of the Site Authority management
team attending the meeting included, Jim Walsh and Lori Lang. The discussion included how is FS landscaping addressing the landscaping/trees on Landing Cove. Discussion included how the general care of the landscaping is being monitored and how the landscaping is going to be brought up to a level meeting the expectations per the vendor contract which went into effect July 1, 2018.

- It was determined FS will move forward with an initial installation of the California Gold Rock beginning in the alleys of the 200-block of Landing Cove. The landscapers recommend installing California Gold as this rock stays in place when leaf blowers are used. The California Gold will be installed in the areas of bare dirt between the alley curbs and the wood fences/stucco courtyard walls. The intension is the alleys will look clean and kempt.

Sandi Bolger asked for clarification whether the landscapers were state employees. Friesen replied the landscapers are state employees working for the University. She expressed her impression that the landscapers are not going to lose their jobs, if they don’t do a good job as opposed to a non-state employee landscaper who would lose their jobs. She commented every week she is outside after the landscape crew has left picking up after them. She commented her husband trimmed the shrubs because the landscapers did not trim them.

S. Boyd offered “historical context”. UG has had a variety of landscaping solutions. Her understanding that originally the University’s staff was providing landscaping services. The owners became unsatisfied with the services offered and let a contract to a private landscaping firm. Boyd offered the owners were even more dissatisfied with the private landscaping firm, so UG went back to using the University’s landscaping services.

Various owners commented they were satisfied with the private landscaping firm whose workers were visible daily in UG.

S. Mandyam stated there is approximately $400K in the UGCAM contract with the CSUCI Facility Services landscaping group. All the landscape employees are state employees. Mandyam suggested there should be a line-item analysis of who will be paid, etc. Friesen commented the process at the present time is that owners offer work orders to the UGCAM manager and work orders are entered into the University’s work order system. UGCAM received a monthly invoice from the University for landscape services. Mandyam expressed a desire to see the numbers. Friesen offered to show the numbers for the landscaping invoices for July and August 2018 by coming by the UGCAM office.

Tom Bokhart stated the owners are charged a fixed fee for the monthly landscape services.

S. Bolger offered she applies her own snail bait because the landscapers do not address the snail issue in UG.

Teresa Rosado questioned whether there are guidelines the landscapers are held accountable. Eric Rosado asked is there anyone overseeing the landscapers work? He stated is appears no one is coming behind the landscapers and checking out what they are doing.

T. Bokhart offered having a contract with the University’s landscapers is a “good step forward”. Bokhart stated the landscape services appear to be a fixed cost. He suggested if owners see something that is not being done to write the UGCAM manager an email which will be entered as a work order to the University landscaping team inundating the system with complaints about the landscaping.
E. Rosado replied owners should not have to write an email stating something was not done right. The landscapers should have done it right the first time. Susan Engelmann asked UGCAM manager, Friesen, what does the landscapers contract say they are to do. The contractor outlines the landscapers are responsible for regular maintenance of the turf, groundcover and planted areas. D. Watts added he has observed the landscapers do not seem to have a manager who walks around monitoring the landscapers work. C. Williamson suggested the HAC members request the HAC manager invite the landscaping team to the October HAC meeting to hear the owners’ concerns. Friesen commented as of July 1, 2018, CAM has a contract for landscape services which for over one year we did not have a contract. The Site Authority has expressed a desire for the University’s landscaping crew to provide services to University Glen. As the Agent for the Site Authority, UGCAM management is working to implement this strategy.

S. Bolger offered the strategy suggested by the Site Authority may not be in the best interest of the owners in University Glen. Friesen suggested the protocol to express your concerns is through the HAC representative to the Community Advisory Group, Mark Hewitt.

A strategy for the mature trees in University Glen was discussed with the CSUCI landscape team, i.e. the sycamore trees and how they are lifting the sidewalks. A strategy for the street Sweeper was discussed. The landscape crew is to blow the leaves and clippings out into the street. The street sweepers are scheduled to be in University Glen on Thursdays (9:00 AM to 2:00 PM) and Fridays (9:00 AM to 2:00 PM). Further clarification about the schedule for street sweeping will be offered in UGCAM’s October EBLast.

The desire to have a revised plant palette which is drought tolerant was discussed. The 32-acre landscape design is in plan check now. UGCAM will work to procure the plant lists for use in UG. Friesen suggested he would like assistance from two members of the HAC to walk starting on Landing Cove to make common sense landscaping suggestions. Sandi Boyd and Kelli Miller volunteered.

h) D. Watts stated he has a problem with ants. Is there anybody who addresses this issue. Friesen commented owners’ monthly CAM fees provide for a weekly exterior pest control service which includes spraying for ants. Friesen requested Watts send an email to UGCAM and the pest control vendor will spray for ants on the exterior of your townhouse. S. Boyd offered the UGCAM pest control vendor is available to address pest issues within your homes for a small fee.

i) The project to paint the retaining walls for the single-family homes is moving forward. A contractor is selected and UGCAM is working on the contract. The contractor says it will take about 20-days to complete the work. The landscaper who trimmed the shrubbery for the townhouse painting project will be trimming the retaining walls for the single-family home retaining wall painting project as well. The face and the top of the retaining walls. The retaining wall project is scheduled to include crack repair prior to painting of the retaining walls. S. Engelmann stated the trees on the owners’ side of the Single-Family Home retaining walls were planted following a submission to the HAC approving the planting of the trees.

S. Bolger commented when they purchased their Single-Family Home they needed to take out a tree because the roots were cracking the retaining wall. Susan Doswell asked how it was determined to paint the top and front of the walls only. Friesen replied this was determined during the bidding process.
Friesen went on to state the SFH retaining walls will be painting the same color as the house color the Site Authority has on record.

j) Friesen mentioned UGCAM has procured prices for the solar bollards along Channel Islands, 14 bollards total. One solar bollard will be ordered and installed on a concrete footing as a mock-up to verify the intensity of the light and functioning of the solar bollards before the remaining 13 solar bollards are installed. The bollard project is anticipated to be completed by the end of the calendar year.

k) M. Hewitt asked Friesen about the status of the request from owners to extend the hours of the Community Center Lounge. Friesen reiterated the comments from last month’s HAC meeting that the Police Department does not see clearing and closing-up the Community Center Lounge as part of their duties to provide services to University Glen. UGCAM is in conversation with E&S Ring about how to address extending the hours in the Community Center Lounge to 9:00 PM. If an owner in UG has a solution to address this patrolling/security issue, please bring your suggestion forward.

T. Bokhart stated he understood the UG owners compensate the police department for their services. Friesen confirmed UG owners do pay the CSCUI Police Department monthly through their monthly CAM fees. Bokhart asked if UGCAM has a service contract with the Police Department. Friesen stated UGCAM does not have a service contract with the CSCUI Police Department. Friesen commented the monthly charge paid by the UG owners covers the “on call” availability of the CSCUI Police Department.

l) K. Gordon commented the monitors in the Town Center Gym are not functioning. UGCAM will follow-up.

6) Community Advisory Group—Mark Hewitt, HAC Representative
   a) Mark Hewitt reported talking to the member of the CAG about the signs directing traffic to the Mission Hills Leasing Office away from Anacapa Island Drive on Channel Islands Drive to Rincon Drive. Hewitt commented everyone seemed to favorable about making the changes to the signs. It has been about 5-6 weeks and the revisions have not been implemented.
   b) Hewitt mentioned during the last HAC meeting an owner requested the community provide RV parking/storage in or near UG. Hewitt commented a designated RV parking/storage area is not in the present plan, but the University is always interested in the revenue sources.
   c) Hewitt reported asking about the trip hazards in UG. UGCAM is working with a contractor to provide a survey of trip hazards in UG to move forward with bids, etc.
   d) Hewitt offered he commented to the CAG the issues with landscaping are too many to list during the CAG meeting. Hewitt reiterated submit and email to UGCAM with pictures, if possible describing your landscaping issues. Friesen stated UGCAM inputs a work orders into the CSUCI work order system (Azzi) and includes the contact information of the owners, so contact can be made for clarifications. Hewitt pushed owners to inundate the landscapers with work orders.
   S. Mandyam commenting clarifying the “process” of submitting landscape work orders. Mandyam offered what do you do when the landscapers do not respond after 3-4 weeks? Hewitt offered to send another request to UGCAM and copy M. Hewitt. Friesen explained as soon as UGCAM is able a work order is placed with the CSUCI work order system. UGCAM has a running list of the CSUCI work
orders by the WO number. When UGCAM receives a verification a work order has been opened in the CSUCI work order system, UGCAM provides an update to the owners as possible. This is the way the system is working now.

T. Rosado questioned what the timeline for the landscapers is to respond. Friesen offered the landscapers suggest a two-week turn around on work orders. She requests a follow-up on the status of the work-orders. E. Rosado commented the painting contractors did not contact him regarding when they would be completing the touch-up paint at his townhouse. Rosado suggested having the supervisors of the landscape crew come to the next HAC meeting to hear the frustration the owners have with the Facility Services landscaping crew.

7) **Unfinished Business**

a) T. Bokhart mentioned the continuing request from the UG homeowners to have Lori Lang, Site Authority Housing Manager come to the HAC meeting and discuss the process for the sale of homes in University Glen including discussion about the “improvements” to homes.

b) T. Bokhart mentioned as a new townhouse owner he is evaluating his risk exposure and evaluating the deductibles for his insurance. He requests someone with the Site Authority coming to the HAC meeting and talking about what happens insurance-wise when “bad” things happen in University Glen, i.e. fire, flood, earthquake. Discussion about special assessments and how to self-insure. This presentation could be part of the monthly HAC meeting or in a separate meeting.

S. Boyd agreed information on how the insurance coverages work for the “institutional” side of the wall.

c) C. Williamson offered next month’s HAC meeting hopefully include:

- ideas for the dog park expansion.
- invite the grounds keeping personnel to come to the HAC and explain what they do and address owners landscaping issues.
- Discuss insurance coverages for owners in University Glen
- Invite Lori Lang to come and speak to the HAC about the sale of homes in University Glen. Also have a conversation on home improvements.
- Update on the Reserve Study, including a draft copy.

d) S. Englemann requested a point of clarification regarding the 10-year timeframe for the sale of homes in University Glen is “off the table”. Friesen commented that per the email sent out by Jim Walsh, Senior Director of the Site Authority the 10-year buy back feature as stated in the ground sublease is not being pursued by the Site Authority.

S. Boyd offered it is her understanding there are new ground subleases being written for the units in the 32-acre development. She commented at the same time what suggested revisions should be made to the original development’s ground sublease so there is equitable treatment throughout the UG Community. She suggested this is the largest existential issue in front of members of the UG Community.

M. Hewitt questioned Boyd how she knows there are separate ground subleases being developed for the new 32-acre project?

T. Bokhart stated he heard John Gormley mention he (Gormley) was drafting three separate ground subleases for the properties in the new 32-acre development.
K. Benn commented in a conversation with L. Lang she stated the properties in the new 32-acre development are not subject to the sales restrictions of the original phases of the UG Community.

8) **New Business**
   a) S. Mandyam offered he questioned the meeting time of the monthly HAC meeting as many people cannot attend. Alternatives include meeting at a time later in the day and/or implement video conferencing of the monthly HAC meeting.

9) **Architectural Reviews**
   a) Owners in the 300 block of Fry's Harbor Drive presented the proposed paint color selection of the painting of their single-family home. The colors offered are all on the pre-approved color schedule for the single-family homes. Members of the HAC approved this Property Improvement Application.
   b) Owner in the 300 block of Cuyler Harbor Drive presented a Property Improvement Application for the installation of a solar power array. The HAC approved the application which is to be also approved and signed by the Senior Director of the Site Authority before the application is forwarded to CSCUI Facility Services for review and issuing of a building permit for the work to proceed.
   c) Owner in the 300 block of Twin Harbor Drive offered sketches of proposed patio furniture for installation on their front patio. The built-in patio furniture as presented was rejected by the HAC members. Further discussion will continue between the HAC members and owner.
   d) Owner in the 300 block of Landing Cove presented a Property Improvement Application for the installation of diagonal redwood lattice in the alley-side courtyard fence. The PIA was approved with the overall height limitation of 6'-6" for demising fence with diagonal lattice.

10) **Construction Updates**
    a) The water tower repair/painting project continues. The work day begins at 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM on weekdays.

11) **Upcoming Events**
    a) Homeowner’s Advisory Council monthly meeting, Thursday, October 18, 2018, at 6:00 PM in the Community Center Lounge.

12) **Meeting Adjourned**
    a) Chris Williamson, HAC Chair, adjourned the meeting at 7:35 PM.
HAC Attendees

Owner TH/SFH
Chris Williamson SFH (HAC Chair)
Mark Hewitt SFH (HAC Member)
Tom Bokhart TH (HAC Member)
Kelli Miller TH (HAC Member)
Sandi Boyd TH (HAC Member)
Steve Borecki SFH
Carol Borecki SFH
Wolfgang Paasch SFH
Kim Jung TH
Teresa Rosado TH
Erik Rosado TH
Mark Haug SFH
Simhan Mandyam TH
Miriam Olson TH
Susan Doswell SFH
Paula Rockenstein TH
Sandi Bolger TH
Del Newquist TH
David Watts TH
Maureen Polley TH
Tobey Wheeler TH
Amy Segelin TH
Susan Engelmann TH
Tina Dreiske SFH
Brett Eastman TH
Kerry Gordon TH
Kevin Benn TH
Tyler Ripley TH
Jim Welborn TH
Stephanie Bracamontes Site Authority Staff
Arnie Camp Officer CSUCI Police
Jake Friesen HAC Manager

Future Neighborhood Improvements

The following items are on a “Wish List” (Included to retain resident’s suggestions and concerns)

Beautification of DG path along creek adjacent to Frenchy’s Cove.
Expanding the dog park.
Suggestion for trees & picnic tables/benches between the Community Garden & Dog Park.
Children’s Playground in the Park.
Addition of cell tower on the ridge by the water towers.
Upcoming Meetings

University Glen HAC
http://www.csuci.edu/siteauthority/uglen-residents/homeownersadvisory/
2018 Next Meeting: Thursday, October 18, 2018, at 6:00 PM.

University Glen Community Advisory Group
http://www.csuci.edu/siteauthority/uglen-residents/univ-glen-advisory-group.htm
Next Meeting Dates/Times: Thursday, November 28, 2018, at 4:00 PM.

CSUCI Site Authority
http://www.csuci.edu/siteauthority
2018: Monday, October 1, 2018 at 11:30 AM

Contact Information for Residents (Taken from http://www.csuci.edu/siteauthority/uglen-residents/)

For Current Homeowners: All payments of CAM fees shall be made via UGCAM’s Yardi Payment Platform, by mailing a check, or by delivering a check to the mail drop at the UGCAM office at 45 Rincon Drive, Suite 103-3B, Camarillo, CA 93012. All maintenance requests shall be made via email at UGCAM@kennedywilson.com. For questions about monthly CAM payments or other questions, contact Jake Friesen, UGCAM Manager at 805-702-4038 or by email at UGCAM@kennedywilson.com.

For Home Sales in the University Glen community, please contact Lori Lang at 805-437-2678 or lori.lang@csuci.edu.

Apartment rentals in the University Glen community, please contact University Glen Apartments at 805-465-0249.